AMPO Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations



AMPO Research Efforts and MPO Emerging Technology Activities

#### **BALTIMORE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION BOARD**

Tuesday, July 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018

9:00 – 11:00 A.M.

Bill Keyrouze Technical Programs Director, AMPO



#### About AMPO

AMPO is a nonprofit, membership organization established in 1994 to serve the needs and interests of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs).

AMPO offers its member MPOs technical assistance and training, conferences and workshops, legislative and rulemaking updates, newsletters and communications, research, a forum for transportation policy development and coalition building, and a variety of other services.





#### **Board of Directors - Leadership**

#### President

Craig Lyon, Coordinator, Anchorage Metropolitan Area Transportation Solutions (Anchorage, Alaska)

#### Vice-President

Ashby Johnson, Executive Director, Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (Austin, Texas)

#### Treasurer

Todd Lang, Director of Transportation Planning, Baltimore Metropolitan Council (Baltimore, Maryland)

#### Secretary

Amy Cummings, Director of Planning Services, Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County (Reno, Nevada)





#### **Board of Directors - Members**

- R. Todd Ashby, Executive Director, Des Moines Area MPO (Des Moines, Iowa)
- Ron Chicka, Director, Duluth-Superior Metropolitan Interstate Council (Duluth, Minnesota | Superior, Wisconsin)
- Honorable Elaine Clegg, Councilmember, City of Boise, Idaho
- Greg Stuart, Executive Director, Broward Metropolitan Planning Organization (Ft. Lauderdale, Florida)
- David Wessel, Manager, Flagstaff MPO (Flagstaff, Arizona)





### **AMPO Standing Committees**

- Policy Committee
  - -20 voting members; 10 alternate members
- Technical Committee
  - -20 voting members; 10 alternate members
  - -Research Topics Subcommittee
  - -Annual Conference Proposal Review
- Joint Committee Efforts
  - Freight
  - Ad-Hoc Reauthorization Priorities
  - MAP-21 Rulemaking Comments





- 2018 AMPO Annual Conference
   September 25<sup>th</sup> 28<sup>th</sup> | San Antonio, TX
- 2018 Connected & Automated Vehicle Planning Workshop

November 14<sup>th</sup> – 15<sup>th</sup> | Denver, CO

• 2019 AMPO Planning Tools & Training Symposium May 7<sup>th</sup> – 9<sup>th</sup> | Minneapolis, MN



2019 AMPO Annual Conference

October 22<sup>nd</sup> – 25<sup>th</sup> | Baltimore, MD



### **AMPO Technical Working Groups**

AMPO facilitates several technical working groups focused on transportation planning topic areas that are required and/or of interest to MPOs.

- Air Quality
- Connected & Automated Vehicle Planning
- Freight (coming soon)
- GIS (coming soon)
- Performance-based Planning & Programming
- Public Involvement & Environmental Justice
- Travel Modeling





# The working group serves as a mechanism to:

- Build technical, institutional, and policy capacity
- Identify and leverage C/AV benefits
- Address knowledge gaps
- Advance C/AV in planning
- Support USDOT, State DOT, MPO, and Stakeholder C/AV efforts





# **Working Group Participants**

- 15-20 Core Members
- Diverse in MPO-size and Geography
- Variety of backgrounds
  - -Policy
  - -Operations
  - Modeling
  - -ITS





# Working Group Activities:

Four Working Group Meetings

- First Meeting: April 2017 (MPO focus)
- Second Meeting: July/August 2017 (State DOT/MPO focus)
- Third Meeting: November 2017 (Federal/State DOT/MPO focus)
- Fourth Meeting: March 2018 (Private sector focus)

National Framework and Workshop

November 2018



#### **MTC/MAG – Understanding Uncertainties**

### Literature Review Ranges for Key Variables



Source: Future Mobility Research Program, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, October 2017



#### **Manufacturer Commitments**

| Manufacturer  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020-25 | 2025-30 | 2030-35 | 2035-40 | 2040+ |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
|               | 2    |      | 3    |      | 3+      | 4/5     |         |         |       |
| Ö             | 2    |      |      |      | 4/5     |         |         |         |       |
| Ford          |      |      |      | 2    | 4/5     |         |         |         |       |
| HONDA         | 2    |      |      |      | 3       |         |         |         | 3-4   |
| KIA           |      |      |      |      | 3       |         | 4/5     |         |       |
| Mercedes-Benz | 2    |      |      |      |         |         |         |         |       |
| NISSAN        | 2    |      | 3    |      | 4/5     |         |         |         |       |
| TESLA         | 2    |      | 4/5  |      |         |         |         |         |       |
|               | 2    | 4/5  |      |      |         |         |         |         |       |





#### **SAE Levels of Automation**

#### SOCIETY OF AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERS (SAE) AUTOMATION LEVELS







Full Automation -



#### **SEMCOG "Pulse of the Region" Survey**

- Most respondents (43%) believe fully-autonomous self-driving cars will be available to the public in the next 6-10 years (20% within next 5 years)
- 43% described their level of comfort riding in a fullyautonomous, self-driving car as "Apprehensive, but would give it a try"
- 54% of respondents are willing to wait for prices to lower before purchasing a vehicle with semiautonomous features



#### **NCHRP Planning Snapshot #11**

How have elected officials, decision makers, or agency executives responded to C/AV issues in your state or region?

- Supportive
- Too Early to Tell
- Uninformed but Curious



Source: http://www.planningsnapshots.camsys.com/



#### **NCHRP Planning Snapshot #11**

How would you best describe your agency's level of engagement with connected and autonomous vehicles?

- Passively Engaged 37%
- Actively Engaged 33%
- Early Adopter 7%
  - Leader 14%

Source: http://www.planningsnapshots.camsys.com/

16



#### **Emerging Transportation Technology Strategic Plan for the St. Louis Region**

- New technologies may fundamentally alter the way people travel in the future, with potentially dramatic impacts on safety, mobility, and system performance over the next 20-30 years.
- The pace of technology adoption is quickening.
- The St. Louis Region needs to better prepare for the future in its regional transportation planning and investment decision-making.

Source: Emerging Transportation Technology Strategic Plan for the St. Louis Region, June 2017



#### **Emerging Transportation Technology Strategic Plan for the St. Louis Region**



Source: Emerging Transportation Technology Strategic Plan for the St. Louis Region, June 2017



#### **Emerging Transportation Technology Strategic Plan for the St. Louis Region**

| Data, Modeling, and   | Long-Range Planning   | Programming and   |   | Education, Convening, and<br>Supporting Partner Efforts  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| <ul> <li>Analytics</li> <li>Bolster staff data<br/>analytics capabilities</li> <li>Develop a robust data<br/>collection plan,<br/>leveraging new forms<br/>of data to support<br/>performance measures</li> <li>Enhance modeling to<br/>address emerging<br/>transportation<br/>technologies</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Establish a Technology Advisory<br/>Committee</li> <li>Develop a shared vision for<br/>technology to recommend regional<br/>strategies</li> <li>Conduct scenario planning to<br/>better understand alternative<br/>futures and to support more<br/>informed analyses of investment<br/>priorities</li> <li>Include considerations related to<br/>emerging transportation technology<br/>as a factor when prioritizing<br/>projects for the regional<br/>transportation plan (RTP)</li> </ul> | Programming and<br>Funding<br>Update the current<br>Transportation<br>Improvement Process<br>(TIP) project selection<br>process to encourage<br>innovative technology<br>applications | <ul> <li>Pilot Program<br/>Development</li> <li>Build federal grant<br/>readiness by creating<br/>a compelling grant<br/>narrative</li> <li>Establish a grant<br/>tracking system</li> <li>Develop and fund a<br/>regional technology<br/>deployment pilot<br/>program</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Work with local universities to<br/>identify opportunities to<br/>collaborate</li> <li>Coordinate peer-to-peer<br/>workshops and facilitate<br/>regional discussions on topics<br/>including public-private<br/>partnerships, changes to<br/>procurement policies, and<br/>data collection and analytics</li> <li>Conduct assessments of local<br/>governments' awareness and<br/>readiness regarding<br/>technology on a periodic basis</li> </ul> |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Update the regional ITS<br/>Architecture and Deployment Plan</li> <li>Update the Congestion<br/>Management Process and ensure<br/>that other regional planning<br/>products integrate emerging<br/>transportation technology</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |

Source: Emerging Transportation Technology Strategic Plan for the St. Louis Region, June 2017



#### **FTA/ARC – Shared Mobility and Technology Report**



Source: FTA, Shared Use Mobility, Transportation Technology and Intercity Transit Services, June 2018



#### **DVRPC – Setting Context**

#### FIGURE 19: THE FOUR INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTIONS



THE DIGITAL REVOLUTION

Source: DVRPC, 2017. Adapted from World Economic Forum.

Source: Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission, Connections 2045, December 2017



#### **DVRPC Connections 2045 – Potential Impacts**

| COULD DECREASE DUE TO  | IMPLICATION             | COULD INCREASE DUE TO  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Vehicle sharing, higher vehicle costs                        | Vehicle Ownership       | Smaller, lighter-weight vehicles lower cost, new types of vehicles   |  |  |
| Increased travel willingness / better use of in-vehicle time | Land Use Density        | Network effects, shared & transit vehicles, less parking   |  |  |
| Vehicle sharing, denser development                          | VMT / Trips             | Lower operating costs, zero-occupant trips, mode shift, expanded<br>mobility for non-drivers, increased travel willingness |  |  |
| Follows all road rules / defensive driving                   | Road Capacity / Speed   | Reduced headways, smoother traffic flow, shorter signal lag times, fewer crashes, and real-time route optimization         |  |  |
| Machine precision  | Crashes                 | Hacking, complex human-machine interactions  |  |  |
| Low-emission vehicles, right-sized vehicles, eco-driving     | Air and Noise Pollution | More travel, larger vehicles   |  |  |
| Vehicles avoid deficiencies, smoother traffic flow           | Pavement Distress       | Platooning / closer vehicle spacing, increased VMT   |  |  |
| AI (deep learning) displaces workers                         | Jobs                    | Technology creates more new high-skill jobs than the lower-skill ones it disrupts  |  |  |

Source: DVRPC, 2017. Adapted from Bryant Walker Smith, How Governments Can Promote Automated Driving, New Mexico Law Review, forthcoming, March 17, 2016, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers. cfm?abstract\_id=2749375; and Johanna Zmud, Ginger Goodin, Maarit Moran, Nidhi Kalra, and Eric Thorn, Advancing Automated and Connected Vehicles: Policy and Planning Strategies for State and Local Transportation Agencies, National Cooperative Highway Research Program; Transportation Research Board, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2017, http://nap.edu/24872.



Source: Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission, Connections 2045, December 2017



#### **MTC/MAG – Potential Benefits/Risks**



# A Unique Opportunity . . .





Repurposed Parking Space for Housing Public Space



Safer Streets Improved User Experience Efficient Network Management



Higher Efficiency Transit Lower Operating Costs





Increased VMT Empty Vehicle Circulation Fight for the Market



Urban Sprawl Higher Congestion Longer Travel Times



Cyber Attacks Privacy Concerns



Declined in Transit Use Inequity



Source: Future Mobility Research Program, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, October 2017



#### **RTC Southern Nevada – Planning Process**

| Technology-Related Planning Needs   | RTC Action                                     |  |
|---|--|--|
| Incorporate emerging technologies into goals                                    | Included in Access 2040                        |  |
| Establish policies & plans with consideration for the future                    | Initiated in Access 2040                       |  |
| Develop scenario model with Emerging Technologies<br>capabilities               | Model development<br>underway (2017)           |  |
| Assess high-capacity transit impacts and requirements                           | High Capacity Transit Plan<br>(2017-2018)      |  |
| Evaluate road capacity needs  | Emerging Technologies<br>Planning Study (2017) |  |
| Forecast financial implications   | Emerging Technologies<br>Planning Study (2017) |  |
| Identify trigger points for longer-term actions                                 | Emerging Technologies<br>Planning Study (2017) |  |
| Evaluate and test use of AV paratransit vehicles                                | 1-5 years                                      |  |
| Update roadway policies and infrastructure to leverage the VMT impact           | 1-5 years                                      |  |
| Develop new predictive models for pavement maintenance                          | 1-5 years                                      |  |
| Assess impacts on low-ridership transit routes                                  | 1-5 years                                      |  |
| Provide analysis of transportation and land use impacts to support stakeholders | 1-5 years                                      |  |

Source: Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, Access 2040, February 2017



#### How will automated vehicles arrive?



Source: Maricopa Association of Government, November, 2017



#### **MAG – Cone of Uncertainty**

#### Scenario Planning CONNECTED VEHICLE/AUTONOMOUS VEHICLE FUTURE



Source: Maricopa Association of Government, November, 2017



#### FHWA 2035 CV/AV Scenarios



Source: Scenario Planning for Connected and Automated Vehicles, FHWA Office of Policy, February, 2018



## Identified Strategies:

- Maintain an environment that fosters innovation
- Establish a desired vision of the future transportation system with C/AVs
- Based on the vision, identify actions (i.e., policies and investment decisions) within the metropolitan planning process and products to support the desired future





- Through scenario planning and exploratory modeling, understand plausible deployment scenarios and their range of implications and risks to the transportation system, specific modes, and the behavior of transportation
- Educate and inform MPO policy boards, other relevant decisions makers, and MPO stakeholders on C/AV status and critical issues
- Help ensure equity, safety, and traffic operations are maintained



- •Do not prematurely select a preferred technology (e.g., 5G vs. DSRC)
- •Expand MPO staff skills to include expertise in planning for and managing emerging technologies
- Make investment decisions the support both the current and future transportation system



- •To help address uncertainty, explore the future in incremental transitions (e.g. 5, 10, 15, and 20 horizon years)
  - This could be visualized as a cone of uncertainty with the narrowest part of the cone representing the present and the greatest overlap of scenarios. The height and width would represent time and uncertainty respectively





- Scenario planning may help narrow the cone
- Potential investment decisions could be identified as projects common to all or most of the cone or projects at the narrow end of the cone that support both the current and future transportation system
- Needs at the widest end of the cone could be thought of more generally by program type or corridor need (e.g., capacity improvements along a corridor within certain mileposts)





### National Framework

- A framework to inform the transportation planning process and products
- Collection of resources and templates

## Workshop

- The workshop will be used as an opportunity to gather feedback on the framework
- Breakout sessions will include:
  - Scenario testing and Modeling
  - Messaging
  - MPO Planning Process and Products





- The MPO role is critical to the nation as 80.7% of the United States population is urban and overall the nation's transportation network moves 54 million tons of freight valued at more than \$48 billion each day.
- MPOs are stewards of the transportation system within urban areas. With their partner agencies, they serve as transportation system planners, managers, operators, and developers who shape the transportation system, maintain safety and equity, and move people and goods regardless of mode choice.





Planning Organizations

#### **Connected & Automated Vehicle Planning**



Source: United States Census Bureau Urbanized Areas and Urban Clusters 2010



• MPOs are leaders for their regions and must keep pace with, leverage, and support emerging technologies, like C/AV, and their potential to improve the transportation system while helping to ensure the safe deployment of these technologies with minimal disruptions or negative impacts to the transportation system and its users.





All of the whitepapers and meeting materials can be found on the AMPO website at <a href="http://www.ampo.org">www.ampo.org</a>

Framework and related materials coming December 2018

bkeyrouze@ampo.org | 202.624.3683

Thank you!

