Regional Transit Plan East-West Corridor Study

West Baltimore

Project Briefing

Summer 2022



UM Trecluit Betimore Center Arena Charles Center Shat Taxer

Washington Butchers

(17)

McElderry Park

> Johns Hopkins Bayview



Today's Agenda

- Regional Transit Plan Background
- Other Projects in the Corridor
- What We've Heard so Far
- Introducing the Alternatives
- Alternatives Performance
- Next Steps



Regional Transit Plan Background

Central Maryland Regional Transit Plan

- Completed October 2020. Will be updated every five years.
- Provides 25-year plan for improving public transportation in Central Maryland.
- Addresses traditional transit (bus, rail) as well as new mobility options and technology (automated vehicles, shared mobility).
- 11-member commission guided the plan development.
- Complies with requirements of 2018 Maryland Metro/Transit Funding Act.





Regional Transit Plan & Identified Corridors

Connect residents across multiple counties to the most important regional destinations: jobs, schools, health services

İÂÔ

Existing all-day demand for service 7 days a week (at peak, service every 15 minutes or better / off-peak, 20+ minutes)



Require infrastructure improvements and investments



Regional Transit Plan Corridors Background

Transit Corridor Studies

- begin with no pre-determined routes or modes in mind;
- build upon previous plans; and
- incorporate new complete streets legislation, new development projects, and equity policies



East-West Corridor Efforts



Proposed Fall 2022 Service	Planned Limited-Stop Service Pilot	MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION	QuickLink 40, a proposed limited-stop route from Westgate to Essex.
Mid Term (3-5 Years)	CityLink Blue CityLink Orange	RAISE BALTIMORE TRANSIT PRIORITY PROJECT>>	\$50M investment to increase bus speeds and reliability and improve pedestrian and bike connections along the CityLink Blue and Orange.
Long Term (5-10 Years)		A Transit Plan for Central Maryland	Seven potential Alternatives for future rapid transit service between Essex, Bayview, CMS, and Ellicott City.

Why are we here?

We are in the beginning of a multi-step process for a major transit investment.



Project Goals



1. Improve the **connectivity and operations** of the existing transit network



2. Expand the **reach and connectivity** of the regional transit network



3. Prioritize the needs of existing transit riders and **transit-critical populations**



4. Maximize the **economic and environmental benefit** of a major transit investment

Study Purpose and Testing

Seven alternatives were developed based on a **market analysis** and the project **goals and objectives.** Alternatives were developed to **test** different **modes and station spacing**, **treatments**, **and areas served**.

- Bus Rapid Transit (BRT), Light Rail Transit (LRT), Heavy Rail Transit (HRT)
- Transit Streets, Dedicated Guideways, Tunnels
- Areas Tradeoffs:
 - CMS/SSA vs. Ellicott City
 - Bayview vs. Essex
 - Inner Harbor vs. Bypassing Central Business District
 - Harbor East vs. Johns Hopkins Hospital
 - North vs. south of Patterson Park

Engagement Activities Conducted





East-West Corridor Preliminary Alternatives



- A P	*^	rn.	0 T I		~ '	
Aľ	LE		au	v		
			-		-	

Bus Rapid Transit from Bayview to Ellicott City via Johns Hopkins Hospital and CMS/SSA.

Alternative 2

Bus Rapid Transit from Bayview to Ellicott City via Johns Hopkins Hospital and US 40.

Alternative 3

Heavy Rail Transit (Metro) from Bayview to Edmondson Village, Bus Rapid Transit from Edmondson Village to Ellicott

City.

Alternative 4

Light Rail Transit from Essex to CMS/SSA via Bayview and Johns Hopkins Hospital.

Alternative 5

Bus Rapid Transit from Essex to CMS/SSA via Bayview and Johns Hopkins Hospital.

Alternative 6

Light Rail Transit from Bayview to CMS/SSA via the Waterfront.

Alternative 7

Bus Rapid Transit from Bayview to CMS/SSA via the Waterfront.

East-West Corridor Study Modes



Measures of Effectiveness

What are the relative strengths and weakness of each preliminary alternative?

Goal	Theme	Measures	Goal	Theme	Measures		
Improve the existing network		Percent of Dedicated Guideway	existing sit-critical		Low-income population within ½ mile of a station per mile		
	Reliability	Fixed or Flexible Guideway			Minority population within ½ mile of a station per mile Zero-car households within ½ mile of a station per mile		
	System Travel Savings	Average travel time savings for transit riders living in the corridor	the need of ers and tran populations	Equity			
	Travel Time	Transit travel time between West	Prioritize the ne transit riders and populat	- 1	Limited English Proficiency population within ½ mile of a station per mile		
Expand the regional network	Ridership	Baltimore and Hopkins Bayview Total Daily Ridership in 2045 per mile			Adult population over age 65 within ½ mile of a station per mile		
		Connections to rail stations, frequent			Population with disabilities within ½ mile of a station per mile		
	Connections	bus service & LOTS		Sustainability	Trips shifted to transit		
	Access	Households within ½ mile of a station per mile	Maximize the economic and environmental benefit	Cost	Operations & capital costs		
		Students within ½ mile of a station per mile	Maximize t economic a environmer benefit	Implementation	Estimated implementation time		
		Future jobs within ½ mile of a station per mile	2 ŭ Đ	Tunneling Complexity	Not applicable, medium or high		

Summary of Analysis Takeaways

- All alternatives attract more than enough ridership to support frequent transit service throughout the day.
- All alternatives improve travel times & reliability for transit riders through **extensive new dedicated guideway**. Rail has better travel time performance than Bus Rapid Transit.
- All alternatives improve access for transit-critical populations. Alignment, station spacing and travel time impact access improvements.
- Costs to build and operate rail alternatives are three to four times higher than Bus Rapid Transit. Cost is driven by mode and length of tunneling.

Measure of Effectiveness Results Summary

	Alternative	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Mode	BRT	BRT	BRT+HRT	LRT	BRT	LRT	BRT
	Endpoints	Ellic	ott City - Bayview		CMS-Essex		CMS-Bayview	
Goal	Length (miles)	22.7	18.4	19.1	16.4	17.1	14.1	14.2
	Number of Stations	39	36	25	28	33	19	31
	Average Station Spacing (miles)	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.5
	Performance Area							
1	Reliability - % of Dedicated Guideway	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER	BEST	BETTER
Improve the connectivity and operations of the existing	Reliability - Fixed or Flexible Guideway	FLEXIBLE	FLEXIBLE	FLEXIBLE/ FIXED	FIXED	FLEXIBLE	FIXED	FLEXIBLE
transit network	System Travel Time Savings	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	BEST	BETTER	BEST	GOOD
	Travel Time	GOOD	GOOD	BEST	BETTER	GOOD	BEST	GOOD
	Ridership	GOOD	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER	BEST	BETTER
2	Transit Connections	BEST	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER
Expand the reach and connectivity of the regional	Access to Households	BETTER	BEST	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	BEST	BEST
transit network	Access to Students	GOOD	BEST	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER	GOOD	BETTER
	Access to Jobs	GOOD	GOOD	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	BEST	BEST
3 Prioritize the needs of existing transit riders and transit- critical populations	Equity	GOOD	BEST	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	GOOD	BETTER
1	Sustainability	BEST	BEST	GOOD	GOOD	BETTER	BETTER	BETTER
Maximize the economic and	Cost	\$	\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$	\$	\$\$\$	\$
environmental benefit of a major transit investment	Implementation time	SHORTEST	SHORTEST	LONGEST	MIDDLE	SHORTEST	MIDDLE	SHORTEST
	Tunneling Complexity	N/A	N/A	HIGH	MEDIUM	N/A	HIGH	N/A

Next Steps – Public Outreach

- 60-day public comment period open through August 1, 2022.
- Street teams are conducting on-the-ground outreach along the corridor. Check website for dates/times and locations.
- Provide comments on the website.
 <u>www.rtpcorridors.com</u>



Overview

Regional Througe Rain (RTP) identifies 3th strends consistent start even routd create a strong brains relevents. In Creard Marylands. The sits controls are placed that allow a strong demand for transit land strends people across the region. Transit cardinal strains on a plavening whether summar turnine ground-large are experiations as the strong whether summar turnine ground-large are experiations that the strend strains are strend to the strends and an its relation planets and the strends that strend strains strains and an allow its sportschort modes, schedules, toxics, and threat structure.







Call the Project Team (443) 475-0687

Email the Project Team rtp@mta.maryland.gov

INVITE US TO YOUR COMMUNITY MEETINGS!

Next Steps - Study

Summer/Fall 2022

Identify Alternatives for Further Study

MDOT and local jurisdictions will select a reduced set of alternatives for further study after public feedback is gathered.



2022 – 2024

Identify Locally Preferred Alternative

The reduced set of alternatives will receive additional engineering and environmental analysis and public input to narrow down to a single option.

2024 – 2026

Federal Approval & Apply for Funding

MDOT and its partners will develop a local funding plan and apply for funding to support design and construction once a preferred option has been confirmed.

