Regional Transit Needs Assessment

February 24, 2016

Baltimore Metropolitan Council



Study Process

- Scope developed with board member staff
- Report written by BMC staff as a report to the Board of Directors
- Public participation through a qualitative study
- Comment sought collectively from all members, and from individuals on county specific issues
- Relies on state/local data and previous studies

Study Scope

Overview

- Existing Service
- Transit Users
- Demographics
- Peer Systems

Analysis

- Wayfinding
- Access
- Performance
- Welfare/Safety

Recommendations

- Local Priorities
- By Mode
- Address 5 Needs



Multi-modal Analysis & Inventory

- Local Bus
- Commuter Bus
- Light Rail
- Metro
- MARC
- LOTS
- Circulator

- York Shuttle
- Private/College
 Service
- Water Taxi/Harbor
 Connector
- Paratransit

Current Core Service Network





Core Service/Job Density

EMPLOYMENT DENSITY BY TAZ, 2015









Core Service/Pop. Density

POPULATION DENSITY BY TAZ, 2015





2015 Population Density Persons per Square Mile 0 - 2,000 2,001 - 4,000 4,001 - 8,000 8,001 - 12,000 > 12,000 Transit Routes (2014)



Job Density 1990





Job Density 2015





Job Density 2040





Top 10 MTA Bus Routes



2014 Boardings Route 17.5 12,10 11.00 1(10,900 9,900 QB 4 9,900 9,900 9,400 22 9,300 20



Regional Transportation Agency



Charm City Circulator





Transit Riders Analysis

- Study includes demographics, modes, income, routes, and motivations
 - 80,000 daily transit commuters
 - 6% of all commuters ride transit
 - <u>76% of commuters are in Single Occupant Vehicles</u>
 - 43% are from households less than \$50,000
 - 56% are female
- Transit riders come from vulnerable populations



Peer Systems

BMC ranked MTA service by mode against peer regional networks and found the following:

• MTA Buses

<u>11th of 16</u> operating expense per mile; <u>10th of 16</u> operating expense per hour

• MARC

<u>3rd of 5</u> service efficiency, one of the largest commuter rail systems in USA

METRO

<u>3rd of 6</u> operating expense per hour, a small heavy rail system

LIGHT RAIL

<u>3rd of 8</u> in operating expense

COMMUTER BUS

Major route realignments in 2014 increasing frequency and coverage



Light Rail Sample Peer Comparison

Agency	Urbanized Area (UZA) Name	UZA Population (Service Area Population)	Operating Expenses	Average Fleet Age in Years	2012 Vehicles Operated Maximum Service		2012 Unlinked Passenger Trips	
					Amount	Rank	Amount (Thousands)	Rank
APTA Peers								
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority (ST)	Seattle, WA	3,059,393 (2,781,740)	\$51,370,132	4.3	26	16t	8,701.10	17
Maryland Transit Administration (MTA)	Baltimore, MD	2,203,663 (2,203,663)	\$43,345,659	18.3	38	14	8,796.30	16
Metro Transit	Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI	2,650,890 (1,805,940)	\$27,886,232	7.7	27	15	10,498.20	14
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)	San Jose, CA	1,664,496 (1,880,876)	\$61,685,649	10.7	55	13	10,372.90	15









Baltimore Metropolitan Council

Information

- Rider
 - Real time data is unavailable to riders
 - On-line trip planning lags behind peers
 - Signage/maps are confusing
- Transit/Planning Agencies
 - "On time" performance is not tracked well
 - Rider survey does not provide detail
 - Model based reporting is not fully utilized





э.,

Access

- Universal fare collection would greatly increase ease of access
- Fare collection can be made simpler and more efficient
- Station amenities vary greatly, and are often non-existent at bus stops
- Hubs are not fully utilized and modes are not integrated



Edmondson Avenue



Towson Town Center





Performance

- Almost all individual modes rate well with peers (not bus)
- Lack of connectivity holds system back
- Major ridership is along the Woodlawn to Bayview corridor
 - High concentration of zero car households
- Major bus routes struggle with on-time performance

Safety/Security

- MTA recently won national award for rail
- Reporting lacks useful detail
 - 60% of incidents categorized as "other"
 - Only 3% of incidents deemed preventable
- Data provided does not line up with public sentiment, which does not view system as safe
- Many bus stops lack lighting & shelter

Economic Integration

- Major job centers are well covered
- A Woodlawn to Bayview connection would create regional economic access
- Despite coverage, last mile challenges keep potential riders away
- We do not maximize land surrounding current/planned transit investment

Recommendations



All Modes

- Routinely Articulate Long Term Multi-modal Vision and Functional Plans for Each Transit Mode
 - ie. MARC Growth and Investment Plan
- State of Good Repair Asset Management approach
- Unified Transit Fare Collection (MTA, LOTS)
- Improve Accessibility at Stations/Stops
- Transit Oriented Development
- Incentivize transit fares/employer subsidies
- Information Real Time, Mapping, Call Centers
- Improved Coordination MTA, LOTS, Locals



Core Bus

- Bus Lanes/Bus on Shoulder, Signal Prioritization need to be studied
- Reporting Performance Metrics
- LOTS & Circulator capital and operating funds
- Expedite BNIP improvements
- Real Time arrival info
- Bus stop improvements



- Station improvements at Odenton, West Baltimore, Aberdeen, and Penn stations
- New Bayview station
- Study a Madison Square or Upton MARC station
- Penn & Camden expansion for additional evening and weekend service

Metro

- Lexington Market station redesign
- Green line extension to Morgan State

Light Rail

- Signal coordination/prioritization study
- Howard Street Revitalization Plan

Commuter Bus

- Parole Intermodal facility w/ BRT or premium bus service to DC, Baltimore
- Regional Park-&-Ride improvements
- BRT, Commuter BRT study

Key New Initiatives

- Woodlawn to Lexington Market fixed transit line through West Baltimore MARC station with station area development
- Fixed transit line between Harbor East and new Bayview MARC station
- First and last mile options, including private shuttles in work centers like BWI/Ft. Meade
- Premium Commuter Bus expansion

Performance Measures

- Develop system to routinely archive and report on system performance
- Publish regular on-time performance summary reports for the biggest 10 bus routes
- Open transit data to create open source solutions
- Additional service to improve hours of service, span of coverage, headways, and relieve overcrowding



Recommendations by County

- Anne Arundel Odenton TOD, Commuter Bus, Annapolis/Parole Intermodal
- Baltimore City Southeast connection, Green Line Extension, Madison Square/Upton MARC station, Woodlawn to Lexington Market, West Baltimore/Bayview Stations, MARC service improvements, Lexington Market, Commuter Bus, BNIP, Circulator, Penn Station
- Baltimore County Sparrows Point service
- Harford MARC Aberdeen, Bus Queue Jump
- Howard LOTS capital assistance, BRT, MARC



Michael Kelly Executive Director Baltimore Metropolitan Council

The Offices at McHenry Row 1500 Whetstone Way, Suite 300 Baltimore, MD 21230 Direct Line: 410-732-9561 www.baltometro.org

