

Recommending Baltimore Regional Transit Asset Management Initial Performance Targets

BRTB Technical Committee June 6, 2017 Slides from MTA presentation to BRTB Technical Committee 12/6/2016



Transit Asset Management Initial Performance Targets

December 6, 2016

Background

Federal TAM Law

MAP-21 required that every transit operator receiving federal funds must:

- Establish TAM Performance Targets
- Coordinate these Performance Targets with the State and MPOs
- Develop a TAMP
- Report asset inventories, condition, and performance measures through the NTD

TAM Final Rule

Different Requirements for Transit Providers of Different Sizes

Tier 1 = Providers with 101 or more revenue vehicles in service during peak regular operations

Tier 2 = Providers with 100 or fewer revenue vehicles in service during peak regular operations

Maryland 5310 providers are exempt because they provide "closed-door" service

MTA, Montgomery, and Prince George's counties are Tier 1

All other LOTS are considered Tier 2

Final Inventory Requirements

Revenue Vehicles

All revenue vehicles regardless of ownership or funding source

Equipment (Including Non-Revenue Vehicles)

All non-revenue vehicles and equipment over \$50,000 in value Note MTA has more stringent criteria for LOTS, requiring all equipment over \$1,000 in value.

Facilities

All administrative, maintenance, and passenger facilities <u>regardless of ownership or funding</u> <u>source</u>

Guideway

All guideway infrastructure (track, structures, power, train control, etc.) <u>regardless of</u> <u>ownership or funding source</u>

Final Performance Measures

Revenue Vehicles

% of assets at or past their useful life benchmark -

Equipment (Non-Revenue Vehicles)

% of assets at or past their useful life benchmark

Facilities

% of assets rated below condition "3" on the TERM scale

Guideway

% of guideway directional route miles with performance restrictions

Performance Targets must be set by the federal deadline of January 1, 2017



FTA default is an age-based analysis

> Physical condition assessment is required (only for buildings w/ direct federal or state funding)

TERM condition rating scale for Facilities

Condition	Ratings	Description
Excellent	5	No visible defects, new or near new condition, may still be under warranty
Good	4	Good condition, but no longer new, may have some slightly defective or deteriorated component(s), but is overall functional
Adequate	3	Moderately deteriorated or defective components but has not exceeded useful life
Marginal	2	Defective or deteriorated component(s) in need of replacement; exceeded useful life
Poor	1	Critically damaged component(s) or in need of immediate repair; well past useful life

Requirements for MPO Coordination



For MTA and those LOTS operating in an urbanized area:

- SGR performance targets shall be coordinated with the MPO
- The MPO Long & Short Range Transportation Plans shall include:
 - A description of the SGR TAM performance measures and targets
 - A report evaluating LOTS asset condition against these measures
 - A discussion of how the TIP/STIP will help achieve the SGR targets

LOTS Performance Targets (Presented by MTA December 2016)

Tier 2 Revenue Vehicles

% of assets at or past their useful life

Asset Class (NTD)	BASELINE % Past Useful Life*	Initial Target	
Bus (Heavy Duty)	23.8%	23.8%	
Bus (Med Duty)	17.0%	17.0%	
Cutaway Bus	59.5%	59.5%	
Ferryboat	0%	0%	
Automobile	50.0%	50.0%	
Van	69.1%	69.1%	

* Some Tier 2 data from Baltimore City was not available to include in analysis

Tier 2 Equipment (Non-Revenue Vehicles)

% of assets at or past their useful life

Asset Class (NTD)	BASELINE % Past Useful Life*	Initial Target
Trucks	31.3%	31.3%
Other Rubber Tire Vehicles (Service)	59.5%	59.5%

* Some Tier 2 data from Baltimore City was not available to include in analysis

Tier 2 Facilities

% of assets rated below condition "3" on the TERM scale

Asset Class (NTD)	BASELINE % Below "3" on TERM Scale*	Initial Target
Administrative Facility	25.0%	25.0%
Maintenance Facility	11.1%	11.1%
Admin & Maint Facility	25.0%	25.0%

*Tier 2 data from Baltimore Co., Baltimore City, Harford Co., Washington Co. and TCCLES were not available to include in analysis

Estimates based on age of each facility's components, not physical inspection

Passenger facilities should only be counted if they include an enclosed building with passenger restrooms, etc.

Proposed Regional (MPO) Targets

	Statewide LOTs Baseline %	Initial Statewide	Baltimore Regional	Baltimore	BC DOT	Carroll Transit		Harford Transit	RTA of Central	Queen Anne's
Asset Class (NTD)	Past Useful Life*	LOTs Target	LOTs	County Ride	(Circulator)	System	Annapolis Transit	LINK	Maryland	County Ride
Bus (Heavy Duty)	23.8%	23.8%	7.1%		0% (0/30)		0% (0/15)		36.4% (4/11)	
Bus (Medium Duty)	17.0%	17.0%	16.2%				100% (4/4)	11.8% (2/17)	0% (0/15)	0% (0/1)
Mus (Light Duty) - Cutaway Bus	59.5%	59.5%	58.1%			64.5% (20/31)	0% (0/2)	51.9% (14/27)	27.8% (5/18)	100% (15/15)
Automobile (Revenue)	50.0%	50.0%	27.3%	100% (1/1)		100% (2/2)			0% (0/8)	
Van (Revenue)	69.1%	69.1%	66.7%	66.7% (16/24)		33.3% (1/3)	100% (0/8)			100% (2/2)
Trucks (Non-Revenue)	31.3%	31.3%	66.7%						100% (2/2)	0% (0/1)
Other Rubber Tire Vehicles										
(Service - Non-Revenue)	59.5%	59.5%	40.0%				42.9% (3/7)	33.3% (1/3)		

Maryland and Baltimore Region LOTs Tier 2 Baseline and Targets

Maryland and Baltimore Region LOTs Tier 2 Baseline and Targets

Transit System	Grant Recipient	Average of Weighted Criticality Ranking	Average of Weighted Criticality Ranking (Raw)	Facility Type	Current Performance (% of Facilities Below a 3 on the TERM scale) Baltimore Region	(% of Facilities Below
Board of Carroll County Commissioners	Carroll	4	4.3	Administrative	0.0%	25.0%
City of Annapolis	Annapolis	3	3.5	Admin and Maint	0.0%	11.1%
Queen Anne's County	Queen Anne's	4	4.1	Admin and Maint	0.070	11.176
Board of Carroll County Commissioners	Carroll	2	2.6	Maintenance		
Howard County	Howard	4	4.9	Maintenance	33.0%	25.0%
Queen Anne's County	Queen Anne's	3	3.0	Maintenance		

Timeline

May 16, 2017 – BRTB Transit Work Group Recommends Targets (webinar) June 6, 2017 – BRTB Technical Committee Reviews and Recommends Approval June 27, 2017 – Baltimore Regional Transportation Board Approves Targets