INRIX U.S. Signals Scorecard April 2022 Update



BRICKELL

 $\overline{}$



Space Available

305.995.9998

Rivergate Plaza

Signal Analytics

Tools to help an agency proactively manage and monitor traffic signals

Web Based Tools No data storage or software installation

Complete Coverage

Available at any signalized intersection in a network

Ready to Use

2

See performance measures without any infrastructure

NUMBER Number

Dashboard

Corridor Analytics



Intersection Analytics



Custom Reports



U.S. Signals Scorecard – April 2022 Update

https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/



https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/archive/

Update – Data from December 13-19, 2021



 $\overline{\sim}$

尚



Topics

Methodology

Results

Key Findings

For More Information...

Q&A



Scorecard Methodology

Four Steps

- Step 1: Ingest sufficient, high quality GPS data
- Step 2: Translate GPS readings into Trip Paths
- Step 3: Generate Signal Performance Metrics
- Step 4: Scorecard Calculations

Requires/Uses NO INFRASTRUCTURE

Requires/Uses NO SIGNAL TIMING DATA





Scorecard Methodology

Four Steps

- Step 1: Ingest sufficient, high quality GPS data 📂
- Step 2: Translate GPS readings into Trip Paths
- Step 3: Generate Signal Performance Metrics
- Step 4: Scorecard Calculations





- Data computed in 15-minute increments
- Metrics used:
 - Observed vehicle crossings
 - Average control delay per vehicle
 - Average arrival on green percentage
- INRIX Volume Profiles used to estimate penetration rate to scale up to estimated vehicle crossings
- Intersections rolled up by state, county, metropolitan areas
- Local time used



Topics

Methodology

Results

Key Findings

For More Information...

Q&A



National Results Updated vs. Original



Traffic

Volume/

Vehicle

Crossings

Average

Trip

Observed Crossings

2020



2021

 $\overline{}$

비



Estimated Crossings

Per Signal 'ADT' → ~17,400 in 2020...~19,300 in 2021

2020



2021

 \sim





Percent Arrival on Green

Average POG same for both 2020 and 2021: ${\sim}62.8\%$

2021



2022

 $\overline{\sim}$

비



Split Failures

Not Analyzed in Detail in 2020; overall ~50% increase per signal from 2020



Delay per Vehicle (Level of Service)

Average D/V per crossing \rightarrow ~16.9 secs in 2020...~18.3 secs in 2021

2020



비



 \sim



11pm

L2pm

L0pm

5pm

6pm

7 pm

8pm 9pm

Total Delay

Per Signal Total Delay/Day \rightarrow ~82 hours in 2020...~98 hours in 2021

2020



Nationwide Total Delay (Hours)

2021

 $\overline{\frown}$

占



Energy Metric Calculator

- Uses the following inputs:
 - Assumed Fleet Year
 - Vehicle Volume
 - Percentage of Heavy Duty Trucks
 - Initial Average Control Delay
 - Final Average Control Delay
 - Urban or Rural Environment
- To Calculate:
 - Total Hours Saved
 - Carbon Dioxide Equivalents
 - Total Fuel Savings
 - Other GHG reductions

Energy Metric Calculator

Traffic signal timings in adaptive traffic control systems (ATCS) can adjust to changing traffic environments based on traffic volume data collected by sensors deployed at individual intersections.



 \sim

||**|**|



R

Estimation of Fuel and Emissions

Methodology

- 1 vehicle-hour of delay at signals in the U.S is estimated to result in:
 - 7.05 pounds of CO₂ equivalents
 - 0.35 gallons of fuel
- Values based on EPA MOVES model
- Assumptions:
 - 2022 Vehicle Fleet
 - 3.24% Heavy Vehicles (based on USDOT BTS #s)
 - 1 gallon of fuel = 120,286 BTU (US EIA)

Office of Planning, Environme		Tana In Tan Ta Alla A
Planning Environment	teal Estate HEP Events Guida	nce Publications Glossary Awards Conta
	CY d Air Quality Improvement	
(CMAQ) Program	Air Toxics CMAQ Conformity It All Adds Up	
Laws and Regulations	$FHWA \rightarrow Environment \rightarrow Air Quality \rightarrow CMAQ$	
Policy and Guidance	CMAQ Emissions Calculator Toolkit	
Reference Materials		
Performance Measures	Introduction to the CMAQ Toolkit	Available Tools
critorinance measures		
	The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Office of Natural	Adaptive Traffic Control Systems (ATCS) Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure
missions Calculator Toolkit	Environment developed a series of tools to provide technical support and	Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements
missions Calculator Toolkit esearch		Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements Carpooling and Vanpooling
missions Calculator Toolkit lesearch raining	Environment developed a series of tools to provide technical support and resources for the implementation of the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program.	Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements Carpcoling and Vanpooling Congestion Reduction and Traffic Flow Improvements
missions Calculator Toolkit esearch raining eporting	Environment developed a series of tools to provide technical support and resources for the implementation of the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program. CMAQ project justification as well as annual reporting require the development of reliable air quality benefit estimates. Realizing that every	Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements Carpooling and Vanpooling Congestion Reduction and Traffic Flow
missions Calculator Toolkit	Environment developed a series of tools to provide technical support and resources for the implementation of the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program. CMAQ project justification as well as annual reporting require the	Alternative Fuel Vehicles and Infrastructure Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements Carpooling and Vanpooling Congestion Reduction and Traffic Flow Improvements Diesel Idle Reduction Strategies

Congestion Reduction and Traffic Flow Improvements

Introduction

Reducing congestion and improving traffic flow along a roadway improves roadway performance and reduces emissions from passing vehicles. This tool calculates emission reductions from intersection improvements, traffic signal synchronization, and roundabout projects.

Original Release: July 2019

Latest Update: February 2020

Help Line

For help using this tool or to provide feedback, please email: <u>CMAQ_Toolkit_Help@dot.gov</u>



Carbon and Fuel Use Impacts of Signal Delay



If Annualized, Nationally...

 $\overline{\sim}$

- Total Impact of ALL Signal Delay
 - CO2 Generated: 27.9 million metric tons
 - Oil Used: 72.7 million barrels
- Impact of 1 second change in Average D/V
 - CO2 Generated: 1.5 million metric tons
 - Oil Used: 3.9 million barrels
 - Can go up or down...same math





尚

 \sim



Why Add Impact Elements?

Fuel Use/Prices







 $\overline{\sim}$

Sources:

- https://www.cnbc.com/2022/03/07/gas-prices-are-heading-to-a-new-all-time-record.html
- https://www.gasbuddy.com/charts



State Results

State	Signals Analyzed	Est Vehicle Crossings/ Signal	Observed Crossings/ Signal	Arrival on Green (%)	Delay/ Vehicle (Sec)	Total Delay/ Signal (Hours)	CO ₂ from Delay (Tonnes)	Oil from Delay (Barrels)
AK	398	21,041	590	65.8%	16.7	97.5	124	323
AL	2,614	23,368	750	66.1%	17.7	115.1	962	2,506
AR	928	19,715	884	61.3%	17.8	97.3	289	752
AZ	5,510	26,941	896	63.2%	18.1	135.3	2,384	6,208
CA	31,607	19,724	534	60.1%	19.7	108.1	10,922	28,447
со	5,584	19,739	534	67.6%	15.8	86.4	1,542	4,017
СТ	2,690	15,054	424	62.8%	16.1	67.2	578	1,505
DC	1,141	18,828	378	60.9%	24.2	126.5	462	1,202
DE	982	12,665	777	66.4%	17.2	60.6	190	495
MA	4,884	17,213	434	57.4%	20.4	97.5	1,522	3,964
MD	5,001	21,177	594	63.5%	19.0	112.0	1,791	4,664
VA	5,780	19,742	554	64.8%	17.3	94.9	1,754	4,568
VT	278	14,239	541	62.6%	16.6	65.7	58	152
WA	5,573	17,101	343	61.1%	18.7	88.9	1,585	4,128
WI	3,535	16,213	763	64.5%	14.3	64.5	729	1,898
WV	699	12,977	597	62.4%	15.9	57.5	128	335
WY	366	17,247	535	69.1%	11.7	56.1	65	171
Total	241,181	19,331	701	62.8%	18.3	98.2	76,493	199,232

Ŀ

((

Ř

Appendix B – State Summaries



INRIX

Appendix B provides summary information for each state. Table 2 highlights some key statistics and daily average metrics for each state, with the highest value (lowest in the case of Arrival on Green) noted in bold, italics. As expected, there is a wide variance of results:

- 16 states have over 5,000 signals (up from 11); 14 states have less than 1,000 signals (down from 16).
- Estimated total crossings per day, per signal, ranged from ~11,400 (New York) to ~31,750 (Florida).
- Arrival on Green percentages ranged from 57% (Massachusetts) to 69% (Wyoming).
- Delay per Vehicle average ranged from 11.7 to 24.2 seconds. Lowest total statewide daily delay was over 18,000 hours a day (Vermont), well less than 1% of the California's 3.4 million hours a day.
- Total hours of delay per day per signal ranged from under 55 hours (lowa) to 183 hours (Florida).
- Daily carbon and fuel use impacts from delay range from 58 metric tons of CO₂ and roughly 152 barrels of oil in Vermont to nearly 11,000 metric tons and 28,500 barrels in California.

INRIX U.S. Signals Scorecard -	- April 2022 Update	© 2022.	INRIX, Inc.





Maryland Summary

Left (Original), Right (Update)

Maryl Signals Analyzed: 4. Signals Analyzed Ran	172		
Estimated Crossings/H		Delay/Hour uairtisi (1)()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()	Arrival on Green (%)/Hour
Average Darly VOLUN 511 Observations / Signal US Average 619	17.	ORMANCE 6	C=25% D+=5 Typical TRIP 9.5% *5 Tinte Stopped at Signals USAusage 6.86. Rank 7
Fried Cressing Juli and 45 Stored Cressing Signel 19,00	d Stappythimol/Jon Hours of Details grid	(2001) and 91 (DHy 97) mill 81 10	sing Traviel Trove 38.2 mins (US Aug 17.0) parks: Signate Troversed: Schmins (US Aug 4.1, parks 5) Signat Delay, 1.75 mins (US Aug 1.45, parks 4)
BNL Recote (2011) 1.1.1.5 2.6.7 4.6. MCWTDOAMBY 16.7 7.7.8 7.9. MCWTDOAMBY 16.7 7.7.8 7.9. MCWTDOAMBY 16.7 7.7.8 7.9. MCWTDOAMBY 16.7 7.7.8 7.9. MCMINGON 16.9 1.7.2 8.1. MOWAG ANIADIO 2.18 1.5.9 1.7.2 8.1. MOWAG MINISTON 160 3.5.0 9. MACHINETON 160 3.1.7 2.7.	es Listed by Signal Count 101 - 1012 - 4102 - 1014 - 1014 103 - 1012 - 4102 - 1014 - 1014 104 - 101 - 4175 - 3074 - 5384 - 1014 104 - 1014 - 4179 - 3074 - 1014 104 - 1014 - 4179 - 41584 - 41584 - 1014 105 - 1014 - 1014 - 1014 - 1014 - 1014 105 - 1014 - 1014 - 1014 - 1014 - 1014 105 - 1014	Daily Average of Internetional & Courting Internetional & Courting Participants and Account of Encourter of the State of the Encourter of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the State of the State of the State Encourter of the State of the	f Signals with Largest Total Delay



ካ





State Trends: Hours of Delay/Signal/Day

MPO/MPA Analysis

401 Areas Analyzed – 93% of Signals

- MPAs/MPOs with...
 - 100 or more signals analyzed:
 - 266, up from 254
 - 500 or more signals analyzed:
 - 79, up from 69
 - 1,000 or more signals analyzed:
 - 45, up from 41



				Arrival		Total		
		Est Vehicle	Observed	on	Delay/	Delay/	CO ₂ from	Oil from
	Signals	Crossings/	Crossings/	Green	Vehicle	Signal	Delay	Delay
MPO	Analyzed	Signal	Signal	(%)	(Sec)	(Hours)	(Tonnes)	(Barrels)
SCAG (Los Angeles)	17,226	23,001	617	60.5%	20.0	127.7	7,030	18,311
NYMTC (New York)	15,191	11,513	347	62.3%	23.1	74.0	3,593	9,357
CMAP (Chicago)	7,961	21,529	979	61.6%	18.2	108.7	2,766	7,205
MTC (San Francisco Bay Area)	7,290	14,808	332	59.9%	20.0	82.5	1,922	5,006
NCTCOG (Dallas/Ft. Worth)	5,986	19,962	971	61.4%	19.6	108.7	2,081	5,420
NJTPA (Northern New Jersey)	5,912	17,905	532	60.5%	18.9	93.8	1,773	4,618
DVRPC (Philadelphia)	5,577	17,599	586	59.7%	19.0	92.9	1,656	4,314
HGAC (Houston)	5,339	19,935	962	60.1%	21.3	118.1	2,016	5,250
SEMCOG (Detroit)	5,105	19,284	1,491	67.7%	15.2	81.4	1,329	3,462
NCR TPB (Washington, DC)	4,913	22,090	520	63.9%	19.5	119.6	1,878	4,893
MAG (Phoenix)	4,099	28,465	955	63.2%	18.5	146.0	1,913	4,983
DRCOG (Denver)	3,824	20,484	537	68.0%	15.9	90.5	1,106	2,881
PSRC (Seattle)	3,479	17,410	341	61.1%	19.7	95.1	1,057	2,754
ARC (Atlanta)	3,316	29,554	898	64.0%	21.0	172.6	1,830	4,767
Boston Region MPO	3,200	17,085	405	56.6%	22.2	105.5	1,080	2,812
Miami-Dade MPO	2,876	30,253	1,153	61.3%	24.9	209.2	1,924	5,011
SANDAG (San Diego)	2,755	18,539	450	59.6%	20.0	102.9	906	2,360
OKI RCOG (Cincinnati)	2,716	18,177	743	66.6%	16.0	80.9	702	1,830
Metropolitan Council (Twin Cities)	2,692	13,739	598	66.3%	14.9	56.7	488	1,271
Baltimore RTB	2,687	19,605	586	62.0%	19.9	108.3	931	2,424
EWCGOC (St. Louis)	2,318	21,933	1,005	68.6%	15.3	93.4	692	1,802
SPC (Pittsburgh)	2,242	14,912	708	62.4%	18.5	76.5	548	1,428
NOACA (Cleveland)	2,131	15,594	759	62.8%	16.8	72.6	495	1,288
PACTS (Portland, OR)	2,050	15,362	289	64.1%	17.3	73.7	483	1,257
MARC (Kansas City)	1,992	17,971	626	63.4%	15.5	77.5	493	1,285

 $\overline{\frown}$

阝





Metro Area Trends: Hours of Delay/Signal/Day

39 areas with 10%+ increases





Maryland MPO/MPA Summary

Left (Original), Right (Update)

laryland MPOs	Liste	d by :		aryla al Co		NER	
		,, j					
Juni		D/V	D/V	DHD/	DHD/	Annual CO ₂	Annual O
MPO	Signals	(Secs)	∆(%)	Signal	Signal ∆	(Tonnes)	(Barrels
Baltimore RTB	2,687	19.9	9%	108	16%	339,719	884,819
National Capital Region TPB	1,586	19.4	7%	137	24%	253,613	660,550
51 Hagerstown-Eastern Panhandle MPO	163	16.7	12%	64	9%	12,116	31,556
Salisbury-Wicomico MPO	99	17.1	9%	91	8%	10,498	27,341
Wilmington Area Planning Council	59	17.4	-1%	102	-12%	7,045	18,348
🚥 Cumberland Area MPO	54	14.2	-3%	43	-17%	2,710	7,059
Calvert - St. Mary's MPO	45	16.5	17%	113	7%	5,946	15,488
R.							
o Antologiu u le regardeze si antole luo si antole luo							



Maryland County Summary

Left (Original), Right (Update)



Daily Averages of Counties Listed by Signal Count

County	Signals	0/V	Total DHD	DHOySignal	ADG (K)	Total Stops
BALTIMORE (CITY)	1,115	20.7	84,058	75	59.7%	5,884,549
MONTGOMERY	737	17.8	73,853	100	64.6%	5,303,184
BALTIMORE	649	16.9	66,477	102	64.3%	5,067,356
PRINCE GEORGE'S	511	18.5	63,308	124	62.9%	4,556,043
ANNE ARUNDEL	358	17.9	41,477	116	63.0%	3,086,767
HOWARD	218	15.9	16,756	77	63.0%	1,399,631
FREDERICK	162	18.3	15,474	96	59.5%	1,235,138
WASHINGTON	160	15.0	9,316	58	63.1%	826,526
HARFORD	159	18.7	22,807	143	59.9%	1,759,173
WICOMICO	109	15,3	8,914	82	67.5%	683,682

NAME AND INCOME.	158	37.8	41,477	116	65.05	3,086,757	Estimate the University Interview - Percentage - Percentage in the University of the	HARDING PRIVATE	10,110	101 44.3	11.1%	10050
HOWNED	218	15.9	15,756	77	61.0%	1.399,635	Ballman and & Malmin II-	Canal Cana	BL41P	105 10.0		14,057
PRITING X	182	78.8.	25,474	- 94 -	59.5%	1,285,388	East, West Han & Connecticut Ann	MOV10DHBRF	16315	101 -6-9	1018	35.879
WASHENUTON	160	15.0	9,316	58	63.1%	826,526	and the second se					
CROHMAN	159	34.7	22,827	.143	59.8%	1,759,371						
WICOMICO	105	15.5	6.914	82	67.5%	##3.58Z						
otes: The methodox				iowin işideti	elled in App	endix A of the S			_	_		_
The methodok The graphs rep Apprivates into	e lower ta n kniower	highour bles 10, left tab	nstatewide: Ar - Detay p emus have	tavi n iš deti summerieš, per Vehicie ± 10 ar mon	siled in App advencing in Seconds, e signels to	endix 4 of the 5 n 15 minute in 'DHD' - Daily H be Included: Sig					ncross	ngs



Counties Listed by Signal Count

		D/V	D/V	DHD/	DHD/	Annual CO ₂	Annual Oil
County	Signals	(Secs)	∆(%)	Signal	Signal ∆	(Tonnes)	(Barrels)
Baltimore City	1, 163	23.0	11%	92	23%	125,517	326,916
Montgomery	786	19.5	10%	135	35%	124,214	323, 524
Baltimore	654	18.7	11%	123	20%	93, 531	243,606
Prince George's	547	19.3	4%	149	20%	95, 255	248,097
Anne Arundel	387	19.0	7%	129	11%	58, 142	151,436
Howard	228	17.6	10%	103	34%	27,371	71,289
Frederick	166	20.3	11%	102	7%	19,819	51,620
Harford	165	19.6	4%	135	-6%	26,004	67,729
Washington	163	16.7	12%	64	9%	12, 116	31,556
Worcester	121	9.1	-23%	53	- 48%	7,430	19,353

Notes:

The methodologi used to generate results show his detailed in Appendix A of the Scorecard
 Results based on data gathered the week of December 13-19, 2021

 \sim

The profile tabelon (Large photon in the more starts actual)
 The profile tabelong in the more in tabelong and table in the more interested
 The profile tabelong in the more starts destamating advancing in 15m interested
 Acomptosuser 105 - Change from February 2021; Tomes- Medic Toms
 Counties and Modelong in the more starts are all advances interested in the more starts are all or more starts and and table
 Counties and Modelong interested in the more starts are all or more starts and and table
 Counties and Modelong interested in the more starts are all or more starts and table

INRIX

All Signalsa nancest can be viewed at Import

6

© 2022 INRIX, Inc. INRIX U.S. Signals Scorecard - April 2022 Update







'Worst' Intersections

Scorecard Update has 7 different tables, 92 intersections listed

Tables (filtered for 1,000+ observed crossings/day)

- Top 25 Average Weekly LOS in Metro areas
- Top 25 Peak Demand Hour LOS in Metro areas
- AM peak LOS "F" in Metro areas (11 signals)
- Top 10 PM Peak LOS in Metro Areas
 - 81 Signals with LOS "F"
- Top 10 Weekend Midday LOS Nationally
 - 18 Signals with LOS "F"
- Non-Metro Avg Weekly LOS (11 over 50 secs)
- Top 10 Avg Weekly vehicle split failure %

Highest Weekly Average Delay/Vehicle Intersection

Disclaimer/Reminder...

Many reasons to make 'the list'



21 states and 57 counties represented in the 92 'slots'

비

 \sim

However...none were in Maryland



Interactive Map

All Intersections Analyzed are Clickable, with embedded Street View



|||||

 $\overline{\sim}$

Signals Scorecard Homepage: https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/



Vhat You'l	t Learn: philosterioming MEDe
	o Norst Performing Counties
	rat Parforming Urban Interactions
	formance Nebrica for All 30 States
The etc/ronment air and reduced a	tal behefts of wel-timed signals, including cleane of consumption
And much more.	
T THE PARTY AND	-



30

https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/map

Interactive Map

All Intersections Analyzed are Clickable, with embedded Street View



թ

 $\overline{}$

U.S. Signals Scorecard - April 2022 Update

INRIX

Greene Street & Duwa Street County, State

O Maps Data

That's 241,181...What about the rest?

All Signals Tagged as such in OpenStreetMaps (OSM) is included...you can help fill gaps!





Topics

Methodology

Results

Key Findings

For More Information...

Q&A



Key Findings

- Revisit Original Scorecard Findings
 - Signals contribute more to overall network delay than previously thought

 \sim

占

- Middays need more attention, 'AM peak may need less'
- New Findings
 - Travel patterns are on the way, but not yet at, the 'new normal'
 - Consider 'time of year' timing plans
 - Signals and Climate Part of the Solution...or the Problem?

Topics

Methodology

Results

Key Findings

For More Information...

Q&A



Reference Sites/Links

- US Signals Scorecard:
 - Home Page: <u>https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/</u>
 - April 7, 2022 Webinar: <u>https://inrix.com/resources/on-demand-webinar-inrix-u-s-signals-scorecard-april-2022-update/</u>
 - Interactive Map: <u>https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/map/</u>
 - Blog post: <u>https://inrix.com/blog/signals-scorecard-insights/</u>
 - Original Scorecard Archive: <u>https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/archive/</u>
- INRIX IQ Signal Analytics
 - Product Page: <u>https://inrix.com/products/signal-analytics/</u>
 - IQ Trial: <u>https://iq.inrix.com/</u>
 - Videos:
 - How it works: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jXiiiKasS9A&feature=youtu.be</u>
 - Intersection Analytics Module (w/CATT Lab): <u>https://ritis.org/tutorials/videos/404397193</u>
- References:
 - FHWA's ATSPM Home Page:
 - <u>https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/arterial_mgmt/performance_measures.htm</u>
 - Old Causes of Congestion Study:
 - <u>https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/congestion_report/executive_summary.htm#what_is_congestion</u>
 - USDOT BTS/TETC Coalition/UMD CATT Lab TDADS Study:
 - https://tetcoalition.org/projects/transportation-disruption-and-disaster-statistics/



April 2022 Update Author: Rick Schuman, VP. Public Sector America





Topics

Methodology

Results

Key Findings

For More Information...

Q&A



INRIX U.S. Signals Scorecard

Thank You

ЪĤд

 $\overline{\simeq}$

Visit: https://inrix.com/signals-scorecard/ https://iq.inrix.com

Contact: Rick Schuman rick@inrix.com

今下