

County of Fairfax, Virginia

ADDENDUM

DATE: December 23, 2015

ADDENDUM NO. 2

ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS

TO:

REFERENCE: RFP2000001824

TITLE: Procurement Card Services

DUE DATE/TIME: January 13, 2016 @ 2 P.M. EST (Revised)

The referenced request for proposal is amended as follows:

- 1. The due date/time has changed to January 13, 2016 at 2:00 P.M EST.
- 2. Please note additional information provided by Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) in regard to Question #9 and Question #16 that were answered in Addendum #1, published on December 16, 2015.

Q9, Addendum 1:

A9: FCPS indicates that monies collected for school activity fees are deposited into FCPS controlled bank accounts. A large portion of band fees are collected through an eCommerce system. The fees collected through this method are deposited directly into a FCPS controlled bank account. Booster organization volunteer services may include ministerial acts pertaining to the collection and disbursement of student fees. To protect FCPS in the event of losses, Fidelity/Crime insurance coverage is procured.

Q16, Addendum 1:

A16: FCPS indicates that there has been no employee fraud over the past three years. To protect FCPS in the event of losses, Fidelity/Crime insurance coverage is procured.

- 3. Refer to Attachment 1 for answers to questions received on or after December 10, 2015.
- 4. Refer to Attachment 2 for the Attendance Sheets for the pre-proposal conference held on December 9, 2015.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Jamie Pun, VCO Contract Specialist II

THIS ADDENDUM IS ACKNOWLEDGED AND IS CONSIDERED A PART OF THE SUBJECT REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL. A SIGNED COPY OF ADDENDUM MUST BE RETURNED PRIOR TO DUE DATE/TIME OR MUST ACCOMPANY PROPOSAL. SIGNATURE ON THIS ADDENDUM DOES NOT SUBSTITUTE FOR YOUR SIGNATURE ON THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL DOCUMENT. THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL DOCUMENT MUST BE SIGNED.

	Name of Firm	

- Q1: DOES THE COUNTY RECEIVE ONE REBATE PAYMENT FOR COMBINED SPEND OF COUNTY AND SCHOOLS OR DO EACH RECEIVE A SEPARATE PAYMENT?
- A1: County and FCPS receive separate checks, which are then deposited into their respective general funds.
- Q2: WOULD COUNTY CONSIDER REMOVING LASF CARDS FROM RFP AS THEY ARE FOR NON APPROPRIATED FUNDS? NO BANK WILL BE ABLE TO ISSUE CARDS WITHOUT CREDIT QUALIFYING SOMEONE AND IF EACH INDIVIDUAL SCHOOL ROLLS UP TO COUNTY'S FINANCIAL WE WOULD BE FORCED TO CREDIT QUALIFY AN THEREFORE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PAYMENT IF SCHOOL DIDN'T PAY BILL OR WOULD BE DEDUCTED FROM REBATE?
- A2: The County will consider and evaluate all offers. Scores will be assigned in accordance with section 16.6, "Proposal Evaluation Criteria." Also, see Addendum 1, Q&A 9, and this Addendum 2, clarification by FCPS at the top of Attachment 1.
- Q3: WOULD COUNTY AND SCHOOL ACCEPT AN INDUSTRY STANDARD FILE THAT CONTAINS MORE DATA THE YOU USE TODAY THAT SAP ACCEPTS VERSUS AN EDI?
- A3: It is premature for the County to know if an offeror's proposed alternative file would be compatible with the County's SAP financial system. The County will consider and evaluate all alternative approaches in accordance with section 6.3, "Treatment of the Issues."
- Q4: HOW DOES THE COUNTY ENSURE PAYMENTS ARE NOT PUT ONTO THE CARD IF NO APPROPRIATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE? THE ASSUMPTION IS CARDS ARE USED ONLY IF THE COUNTY HAS APPROPRIATIONS AND BE ABLE TO PAY THE OUTSTANDING BALANCES?
- A4: Refer to section 3.2 "Contract Period and Renewal." All current and past obligations of monies due to contracted vendors will be paid. The County budget is approved and published in April of each year for the new fiscal year beginning July 1.
- Q5: \$613MM/3300 PO's is 185,758 per PO. How many of these are within the DPSM's delegated authority that are not being captured on the card today?
- A5: Those statistics are for purchase orders only. The FY15 p-card spend total is \$98 million. All spend is under the delegated authority of the County and FCPS.
- Q6: DECLINING BALANCE CARDS: HOW MANY OF THESE ARE TYPICALLY ISSUED PER YEAR AND WHAT IS THE AVERAGE LIMIT PLACED ON THESE?
- A6: For FY2016, FCPS has 53 active declining balance p-cards. In FY2015, July 2014 to June 2015, 15 new cards were opened, but 31 cards were closed due to project completion. Cards are set at limits based on the size of the renovation project. At the present time, the card with the lowest limit is set at \$5,000 and the card with the highest limit is \$3,335,000. This data is informational only and offerors must understand that these figures can vary from year to year.

- Q7: OF THE 26 JURISDICTIONS, CAN WE GET A BREAK-DOWN OF EACH OF THESE ENTITIES TO UNDERSTAND THE NUMBER OF CARDS AND SPEND PER ENTITY? ARE ALL OF THESE CENTRALLY MANAGED AS WELL?
- A7: See Addendum 1, Q&A 29 and Q&A 30, and Attachment 2. The County is not party to any management of accounts for the participants.
- Q8: CHIP & PIN: DO YOU HAVE MANY DEPARTMENT CARDS? WE ISSUE CHIP/PIN CARDS AND USAGE WITHIN A DEPARTMENT MEANS A SHARED PIN.
- A8: The quantity of department cards can range from 40% to 60% of the total, depending on the date. In accordance with section 5.3.b "Issuance of p-cards," if the offeror is proposing chip technology, the offeror shall describe how the chip being offered might impact the County program. Also reference section 5.7 "Cardholders."
- Q9: EXPIRATION DATES: WE HAVE 3-4 YEAR RANDOMLY ASSIGNED EXPIRATION DATES TO CUT DOWN ON FRAUD POTENTIAL... NEVER THE SAME.
- A9: The County will consider and evaluate all offers.
- Q10: SECTION 5.3.D THE OFFEROR MUST DESCRIBE ITS REQUIREMENTS FOR DEVELOPING PASSWORDS FOR NEW P-CARDS AND SPECIFY IF THE COUNTY CAN USE ITS OWN NAMING CONVENTION FOR SELECTING PASSWORDS FOR EACH P-CARD – PLEASE FURTHER ELABORATE ON THIS QUESTION – DO YOU MEAN SELECTING PASSWORDS ON THE BANK'S ONLINE SYSTEMS OR IS THERE ANOTHER PASSWORD YOU ARE REFERRING TO?
- A10: See Addendum 1, Q&A 23.
- Q11: MAY WE REQUEST AN EXTENSION OF 2 WEEKS MEANING A SUBMISSION DATE OF JANUARY 19TH 2016?
- A11: The proposal due date has been extended to January 13, at 2:00 P.M.
- Q12: SECTION 4.2 SPECIAL PROVISION STATES "THE COUNTY AND FCPS TOGETHER EXECUTED OVER 200,000 P-CARD TRANSACTIONS WITH AN AGGREGATE SPEND OF APPROXIMATELY \$98 MILLION". SECTION 4.5 STATES THAT AT THIS TIME, 26 JURISDICTIONS IN THE METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON D.C. AREA AND FOUR JURISDICTIONS IN SOUTHERN VIRGINIA ARE RIDING THE CURRENT COUNTY CONTRACT. OVER THE LIFE OF THIS CONTRACT THE AGGREGATE SPEND OF THESE JURISDICTIONS PLUS FAIRFAX COUNTY/FCPS HAS INCREASED FROM \$64 MILLION IN 2006 TO \$288 MILLION IN 2014. DOES THE \$288 MILLION MENTIONED IN SECTION 4.5 INCLUDE THE \$98 MILLION FROM THE COUNTY AND FCPS DISCUSSED IN SECTION 4.2?
- A12: Yes.
- Q13: WHAT IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CARDHOLDERS IN THE 26 JURISDICTIONS IN THE METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON D.C. AREA AND FOUR JURISDICTIONS IN SOUTHERN VIRGINIA?
- A13: The County does not know the details of any agreements between the current Contractor and the participants.

NOT PAY THEIR BILL?

Attachment 1

- Q14: DUE TO THE COMPLEX NATURE OF THIS RFP, AND THE UPCOMING HOLIDAYS, WOULD THE COUNTY CONSIDERING EXTENDING THE DUE DATE OF PROPOSALS BEYOND JAN. 5, 2016?
- A14: THE proposal due date has been extended to January 13, at 2:00 P.M.
- Q15: SECTION 4.3 SPECIAL PROVISION STATES THE LSAF P-CARDS MENTIONED ABOVE ARE ISSUED FOR USE BY STUDENT ACTIVITY GROUPS AT 196 SCHOOLS, USING NON-APPROPRIATED FUNDS. EACH SCHOOL MANAGES, RECONCILES AND PROCESSES PAYMENTS ON A MONTHLY BASIS, PAYING THEIR BILLS SEPARATELY AND DIRECTLY TO THE CURRENT P-CARD PROVIDER. SECTION 5.22. ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, P-CARD FEES, AND OTHER FEES STATES THE COUNTY WILL NOT PAY OR BE LIABLE FOR ANY FEES INCURRED AGAINST THE LSAF P-CARDS (REFERENCE PARAGRAPH 4.3). IF FEES WILL BE CHARGED DIFFERENTLY FOR THEIR P-CARDS, THAT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSAL. THE COUNTY DOES NOT ASSUME LIABILITY FOR LSAF P-CARDS. SECTION 5.25. STATES THE COUNTY WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR ANY LATE FEES INCURRED AGAINST THE LSAF PCARDS (REFERENCE PARAGRAPH 4.3). IF LATE FEES WILL BE ASSESSED DIFFERENTLY FOR THEIR P-CARDS. THAT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSAL. THESE REQUIREMENTS POSE A CHALLENGE FOR RESPONDENTS, AS BANKS ARE UNABLE TO CREDIT QUALIFY THESE CARDS WILL THE COUNTY ASSUME LIABILITY FOR THESE PAYMENTS AND LATE CHARGES, IF THE SCHOOL DOES
- A15: The County Government does not assume this liability. See Addendum 1, Q&A 9, and this Addendum 2, clarification by FCPS at the top of Attachment 1.
- Q16: IS THE SSAE16 IS A REQUIREMENT OF MAY WE SUBMIT OTHER INTERNAL AUDIT INFORMATION TO FULFILL THIS REQUIREMENT?
- A16: In regard to section 5.27, "Independent Audits,' the County will consider and evaluate offers that propose other independent audit reports as long as it meets the same intent as SSAE16.
- Q17: IN REGARD TO APPENDIX B, RFP PAGE NUMBER 41, CERTIFICATION REGARDING ETHICS IN PUBLIC CONTRACTING:

THE USE OF "I" IN THE RESPONSE OPTIONS: IS IT THE INTENTION TO CERTIFY THAT THE BIDDER'S REPRESENTATIVE CERTIFIES OR THE FIRM? IF IT IS THE INTENTION FOR BIDDER'S REPRESENTATIVE, WOULD SHOULD WE INCLUDE ALL MEMBERS OF THE *SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR'S** TEAM SUPPORTING FAIRFAX? *ACTUAL VENDOR NAME IN QUESTION REPLACED WITH: SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR'S

- A17: This certification is to be provided by the firm (offeror) and all that comprises the firm including its representatives, in connection with the proposal that will be submitted in response to the RFP2000001824.
- Q18: WOULD FAIRFAX CONSIDER MODIFYING THIS DOCUMENT TO INCLUDE LANGUAGE NARROWING ITS SCOPE TO JUST DOING BUSINESS WITH FAIRFAX AND/OR, SIMILAR TO THE DEBARMENT CERTIFICATE, ADDING "TO THE BEST OF ITS KNOWLEDGE?
- A18: No, modifications to the Certification Regarding Ethics in Public Contracting Form are not permitted.

- Q19: DOES THE COUNTY EXPECT THE BIDDER TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE RELATIVE TO ANY PUBLIC BID, PROPOSAL OR CONTRACT THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY AND/OR POSSIBLY INVOLVING ANY PUBLIC EMPLOYEE OR OFFICIAL? OR, JUST FAIRFAX? PROPER DUE DILIGENCE WITH SUCH A BROAD SCOPE WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO DO FOR ANY FIRM RESPONDING TO THIS PROPOSAL.
- A19: This certification is in connection with the proposal that will be submitted in response to the RFP2000001824.

Addendum No.2 RFP 210 000 1824 Pg. 7

ATTENDANCE RECORD Pre-Proposal Conference

SOLICITATION: RFP2000001824

DATE/TIME: December 9, 2015 at 11:00 A.M.

NAME	COMPANY NAME	TELEPHONE #	Email address - Print please
Pat Orlando	County Gout	703-324-3208	Patricia, Orlando @ Fairfa
Rotain Daly	JP Mortan	202-312-1142	robin, r, daly grachase co
MIKE Ravanaugh	Bolt	6156293972	Michael J. Kavanard @ Baml. Co
Martin Jehnson	Virsch	202-657-2277	
Jell Cong	Crebo	703 798 8807	jallag, sith googe chican
Kon Hallauen	DET/COUNTY	203-324-45/5	
Frenk Aillow	DAMB-FBSG	703-324-3209	
Chris Huntl	Finance-ICM	703-324-2557	
Geettre Sunpathiemer	PMB-FBSG	703-324-3153	
Andy Taylor	Citi	707-234-7313	andy 1. taylor (a) citi.com

Addendum Nr. 2 RFP 2000000 (824 Pg.8

Attach ment 2

ATTENDANCE RECORD Pre-Proposal Conference

¢.

SOLICITATION: RFP2000001824

DATE/TIME: December 9, 2015 at 11:00 A.M.

NAME	COMPANY NAME	TELEPHONE #	Email address
Ethan Carr	MasterCard	202 414 8024	ettan-carramasteradica
Laura Rinfret	CapitalOne	631.531.2562	laura, rinfret@capitalone.
Corey Williams	CapitalOne	571.289.1340	corey williams @capitalone.c
Mary June Combe	County - DPSM		
Vicel Willerson	County - PPSM	1033248412	Mary Comly@ Jaupacip.c. Victi. Wilkerson e
Jamie Pun	Country - OPSM	703-324-3653	ji. pm Ofarfax com 29.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Addendum No. 2 RFP 200000 1824 PJ 9.

ATTENDANCE RECORD Pre-Proposal Conference

SOLICITATION: RFP20000018	24
---------------------------	----

DATE/TIME: December 9, 2015 at 11:00 A.M.

NAME	COMPANY NAME	TELEPHONE #	Email address
VASY Zuk	JPMorg	201994 4706	Vasept. zuka pommy, an
SETA KAPLAN	Capital One	703 28720 6718	Sern. Kaplan @ capitalone.co
Bolalai Michalowski	U.S. Bark	757-1753856	Poberta Michalowski@Usbank.co
Ryan Calloway	US BANK	704-243-3278	Ryan. CAlloway Qusbank.com
Tim Nestor	Fulton Bank	804503 0680	fnestor@f.1K.com
RANCK M'BRIDE	FYM THIRDER	202-347-840)	Date: 1/G AROPRILEOS3, Lon
Yaula Conn	Prince William County	703-792-4442	PConn@pwcgovjorg
Tammy Nichols	Barky America	410 5474320	tanny Kenned B
Michelle Bon	Suntrist Bull	202-2363827	michellem. Soun @ suntif
·			C.

Holdendum 2 RFP 200000 1824 pg. 10

ATTENDANCE RECORD Pre-Proposal Conference

SOLICITATION: RFP2000001824				
DATE/TIME: December 9, 2015 at 11:00 A.M.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
NAME	COMPANY NAME	TELEPHONE #	Email address	
Lynn Cooney	TO Bank	301-821-0196	lynn. cooney & td. co	
Richard PXLYPKC	SupTrust	202879668		
Vince Webley	11	11	VInce-wesley (= sustaust-com	
John Henderian	SUNTRUST	703 389-3516	John, L. Henderray Swyright.	
1999-1991 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11				
	· · ·			
	·			